6579 C

G. R. S. III—1] 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(C. A.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Sanction to delete the third proviso to Rule 98 of the rules for regulating the Practice of the Chief Court

From

The Registrar, Chief Court of Sindh

C. No. 152/19-20 Dated 21st Apl. 1920 Received on 27-4-1920.

Diary No. 1957	Enclos. Nil Spare Copies. Nil	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Applies for the approval of the Government to an amendment of Rule 98 of the rules for regulating the practice of the Chief Court printed at page 7 of the Civil Digest. for reasons mentioned therein.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with a draft sanctioning for approval

JR 1-5-1920 Apl 36/5

III Member Sanctioned Dm. 10/6

6923 10.6.20

11/6 Issued Dm. 11/6

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

4579

Ch File No. 114 of 1917.

Serial No.	
2	To The Registrar, Chief Court. No. G: 27496 Dy 15th June 1920. Sanctions the deletion of the 3rd proviso to Rule 28, of the Rules for regulating the practice of the Chief Court.

PHILOLOGICAL 1- JUL 1920 B. 2696

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1919-20

19579

C No 1152

4.20

29113

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, dated 21st April 1920.

No. 1

From

The Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to apply for the approval of the Government to an amendment of Rule 28 of the rules for regulating the practice of the Chief Court printed at page 7 of the Civil Digest. Under section 16 B. of the Chief Court Regulation a Judge sitting alone has power to decide finally 'Civil and Criminal Revision Cases'. Those are cases in which the court's jurisdiction is exercised under section 10 of the Small Cause Regulation, section 115 of the Civil Procedure Code and section 439 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The third proviso to Rule 28 permits a Bench of two Judges or the Full Court to revise or alter decisions of a single Judge in the matters to which the rule refers, and these it will be seen are in no way more important or more difficult matters than those giving rise to 'Revision Cases'.

As a matter of fact so far as can be ascertained order made in a matter to which the rule relates has ever been taken up for revision or alteration by a Full Bench, or called in question by a party by application under the proviso.

NOT.

at 1246

5

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(,)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue..... 18/6 .....

Signed by..... Mr Rice .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

G

Mr

Section Court

File No. 246-19

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of **Mysore.**

Read—

Letter no. C. 1152/19-20 dt 21.4.1920 from the Registrar, Chief Court, soliciting sanction to the deletion of the third proviso to Rule 28 of the rules for regulating the practice of the Chief Court, as, having regard to the provision of sec 16-B of the Chief Court Regulation, the said proviso is anomalous and unnecessary.

Order Thereon—No. G 2749 6

Sanctioned.

D. 10/6

Chief Secretary to Govt

To The Registrar, Chief Court.

G 27497

APR 31/5